

5

A circular black ink stamp from the Office of Intellectual Property (OIP). The text "OIP" is at the top, "JCI77" is at the top right, "FEB 11 2002" is in the center, and "PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE" is at the bottom. The stamp is partially overlapping the "B" in the word "BUREAU".

10

25

30

Some resources, e.g. echo cancellers or transcoders, use given amounts of calculations performed on media streams in the processing when performing the functions e.g. to achieve a better speech quality or a lower bit rates respectively. Other such resources are, for example, error coding permitting correction of transmission errors, channel coding, and interleaving as performed in radio base stations.

Speech quality has become an important factor in modern telephony. A number of connections have an inherent transmission delay that makes echo control necessary. Echo cancelling, which is a modern way of handling the echo problem, is used extensively both in long distance terrestrial or satellite networks and in digital-cellular to public switched telephone network circuits (PSTNs). The design of the echo cancellers plays a vital role in the overall speech quality of telephony systems.

The article Anders Eriksson, Gunnar Eriksson, Johnny Karlsen, Anders Roxström and Teresa Vallon Hulth, "Ericsson echo cancellers – a key to improved speech quality", Ericsson Market Communications, Ericsson Radio Systems AB, Sweden, 1996, which is incorporated by reference herein, contains a description of methods used in echo cancellers of today, which are shortly reviewed in the following.

Echoing in telephony takes place when speech is reflected back to the speaker as a distorted and delayed replica. The reflected speech energy is not a problem if the transmission path is short, but will appear as an echo with an increased delay according to the length of the transmission path. Another cause of echoing is acoustic cross talk between the loudspeaker and the microphone in a handset or in a loudspeaking telephone set.

Large delays are e.g. caused by the use of satellites for intercontinental calls. In digital cellular systems delays are caused by the speech and channel coding and decoding necessary used for transforming speech signals to the digital signal format used for radio transmission and for retrieving the speech signals from received digital signals.

The phenomenon of echoing can be handled by employing echo cancellers. In digital cellular applications, these devices are located in mobile switching centers, MSCs, and in long distance telephony circuits, they are usually located in international switching centers, ISCs.

The principle of an echo canceller 100 is illustrated by the block diagram of Fig. 1. A replica of the echo existing in a signal R_{in} or R_{out} is obtained via a linear filter 102 and subtracted from the input signal S_{in} resulting in a signal S_b . In this signal there may be a residual echo signal, that, is further suppressed by a non-linear processor 104. Comfort noise is added as
 5 produced in comfort noise generator 106. By noise estimation, the noise existing in a call is estimated and sent during a call in non-talking situations, so that it would not be completely quiet during those moments. A hybrid circuit 108 converts a 4-wire connection to/from a 2-wire connection.

- 10 The linear filter 102 makes a replica, or estimate, using characteristics of the path producing the echo. Passing the input signal S_{in} through the filter generates an estimated echo signal that is subtracted from the input signal S_{in} to reduce the echo. A calculation algorithm performs the echo cancellation performed in the filter 102, so that after a given time it can evaluate the echo in a forward direction and send out the same echo so that the echo in the received signal
 15 can be suppressed. For this purpose, the echo canceller adapts the filter coefficients of the linear filter to the current echo path. Most state of the art echo cancellers use an adaptive filter with continuous updating of filter coefficients. The most widely used algorithm for adjusting the filter coefficients is the normalised least mean squares method, NLMS.
- 20 In many situations, the echo reduction obtained by the linear filter is not sufficient. The output from the linear filtering part 102 is therefore passed to a non-linear processor, NLP 104, which further reduces the echo by blocking the residual echo signal, completely or partially, when it is dominated by a non-cancelled, residual echo.
- 25 The linear filter 102 and the non-linear processor 104 are the two basic blocks in most echo cancellers. For good echo canceller performance of an echo canceller to be achieved, the operation of these two blocks must be governed by control circuits 110. The control circuits allow the linear filter 102 to produce a good estimate of the echo path. The control circuits are as to the non-linear processor 104 responsible for detecting the presence of a large or small
 30 amount of non-cancelled echo to be suppressed by the non-linear processor.

The design of the control logical circuits 110 for the adaptive filter 102 and the non-linear processor 104 has a large impact on the overall performance of an echo canceller. The most important part of the control circuits of an echo canceller is the part that secures a good echo

attenuation throughout the call. The two parties of a telephone call are normally talking only one at a time, which means that times for updating the filter can easily be found in order to have a good echo path estimate. Nevertheless, there are also situations when the parties are talking at the same time, referred to as double-talk situations. The adaptation of the filter 102 should then be inhibited, since otherwise an erroneous estimate of the echo path is obtained. These two cases can be discriminated by using a double-talk detector, which is a state machine based on comparisons of the power of the measurable signals.

Another part of the control logical circuits is the part, which controls the time when to activate the non-linear processor 104.

Thus, all the functions in an echo canceller, i.e. the functions of the non-linear processor 104, of the double talk detector etc., are not performed all the time, and thus different amounts of calculations are performed at different times. When a relatively large number of resources, such as echo cancellers, transcoders, channel coders etc. are used, it is very unlikely that all resources would need to make their maximum amounts of calculations at the same time. Still, there will be times when the total number of calculations attains an unsustainable level, for example in situations with a high traffic load. When this occurs, there is for the time being no other available solution in packet-switched networks than to drop one or several packets.

In general, over-dimensioning is not used if the calculation capacity is not used. The number of tasks per processor is evaluated by static means, i.e. the processor is always dimensioned for the worst load situation, which results in a poor average utilisation of the capacity of the processor.

If over-dimensioning is used, a common technique in packet-switched networks is to simply drop data packets during situations with a momentary high load, e.g. the technique used in IP routers of today.

In the published European patent application 0690603 a method for allocating resources in a call processing system is disclosed. The method includes allocating, for a new call, a level of resources depending on the available headroom in the system. The method allows using a less robust algorithm for the new call when the system load is heavy. One algorithm for each resource, or function, to be executed in the system is prioritised.

In U.S. patent 5,628,013 a method is disclosed for determining whether there is enough processing time available within each frame to process the task such that the total processing time of the frame is not exceeded. This is done by first determining the processing time. A task is one or more processing modules. The processing time is calculated by adding the times for processing modules for a worst-case situation. A task-list includes the tasks which have already been installed and that will be executed within the frame. The various tasks are not related to each other.

10 SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

The object of the invention is to provide a method, which makes a better use of the total capacities of processors in a telecommunication network so that more tasks per processor can be handled.

15 Another object of the invention is to provide a method for handling more tasks per processor by taking advantage of statistical properties of traffic situations.

The method to be described herein is concerned with running a processor for high performance operation in communication networks. In the method, a function of a processor is performed by means of an algorithm performing a calculation function for each channel connected for communication. In situations, when there is not available capacity to perform the calculation functions for each channel, the quality of the algorithm is degraded in a controlled manner to decrease the amount of necessary calculations so that more channels can be handled.

25 Such situations might occur at momentary calculation peaks. The communication network considered herein is primarily a packet-switched network but can also be a circuit-switched network. The quality of the algorithm function is degraded in a controlled manner by e.g. dividing it into sub-functions having different priorities, so that functions with a higher priority are performed in front of functions having a lower priority.

The function of one resource, e.g. an echo canceller or a transcoder, can be divided into sub-functions having different priority levels. In situations with a high traffic load, only sub-functions having high priorities are then be executed for each channel, which provides for a

minimum amount of functionality per channel. When using the method for example speech quality will be affected, but the consequences will not be as severe as in those cases where data packets are dropped.

- 5 As stated above, the goal is to support a larger number of functions per processing unit compared to current implementations in circuit-switched STM nodes and compared to prior art solutions in packet-switched networks. Using the proposed method times may occur, which can be assumed to seldom happen, and even very seldom, when the quality of the service is somewhat degraded.

10

For example an echo cancellation function or algorithm can be divided into one or more of the following sub-functions: 1) filtering, 2) filter updating, 3) double-talk detection, 4) non-linear processing, 5) noise estimation, and 6) network probing.

15

Furthermore, the calculation algorithm can be divided into sub-functions. It can perform another function than echo cancellation, such as a transcoder function, a tone detection function, a speech recognition function, a conference call device function, a modem function, a radio base station channel coding function or an interleaving function.

20

In echo cancellation

25

- the filtering sub-function makes a replica, or estimate, of the echo path, that is subtracted from the received signal, in order to cancel or at least reduce the echo,
- the filter updating sub-function estimates filter coefficients, which depend on certain quality measures, and update them continuously, on an average 50% of the time. The updating is performed by means of a algorithm, e.g. the least mean squares, LMS, algorithm, for adjusting the filter coefficients,

30

- the double-talk detection sub-function is used to detect a situation, wherein both parties in a call are speaking. The filter updating sub-function is not used in these cases, since otherwise an erroneous estimate is achieved,
- the non-linear processing sub-function further reduces the echo after filtering by blocking the residual echo signal completely or partially, when it is dominated by a non-cancelled, residual echo. Instead of completely blocking, which could be perceived as a loss of the call, a small noise is sent/inserted,

- the noise estimation sub-function estimates the existing noise in a call and sends it during a call, so that it would not be completely quiet during those moments, and
- the network probing sub-function is performed on an operator level. It can for example be used to describe the properties of the network used for an operator, e.g. how good/poor the hybrid circuits are, how much noise there is in the network etc., which information is used by the operator to design the networks.

Out of these functions, only the filtering has to be made in order to sustain a service that still could be referred to as echo cancellation. There are various consequences of not performing the other sub-functions. For example, if the non-linear processor is turned off, there might be a small echo in the signal sent from the echo canceller. In most cases, this will not cause a too severe degradation of the quality. Even in normal operation, the non-linear processor is switched off from time to time.

The best use of the proposed method is in systems, wherein a large number of echo cancellation functions are simultaneously performed for a large amount of channels run into the same digital signal processor (DSP) core. It can be an enhanced central processing unit, CPU. The method can as well be used in systems in which the calculations to be performed per time unit in the echo cancellation function vary, i.e. the average need of calculations is lower than the maximum ditto. These systems, i.e. high capacity DSPs, CPU/DSPs running at a high clock frequency, compared to a 100 - 200 - 500 MHz PC, provide for a better possibility to make use of statistical aspects than systems having a low number of echo cancellation functions, since such systems require a more constant amount of calculations.

Digital signal processors, which can support a large number of channels, are available. Examples are the 1 GHz quadruple MAC DSP from Texas Instruments called Galileo, and the 350 MHz Star Core from Motorola and Lucent.

The actual number of channels per DSP is a trade-off between speech quality and the number of channels.

The method gives a possibility to base the number of channels handled by a digital signal processor on the average need of calculations and not on the maximum as is the common method in prior art solutions.

The method is thus especially applicable to high performance central processing units, e.g. digital signal processors, operating in packet switched nodes and systems.

- 5 The method can e.g. be implemented in software, and then the method is performed when a computer program product is run.

In the following, the method will be described in detail by means of an example applied to an echo cancellation algorithm, which is not intended to restrict the invention in any way. The
10 invention can equally well be applied to other recourses.

BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

While the novel features of the invention are set forth with particularity in the appended claims, a complete understanding of the invention, both as to organization and content, and of
15 the above and other features thereof may be gained from and the invention will be better appreciated from a consideration of the following detailed description of non-limiting embodiments presented below with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

- Fig. 1 is a block diagram illustrating the principle of an echo canceller according to prior art,
- Fig. 2 is a flowchart illustrating steps performed in a method for reducing calculations made
20 in an echo canceller,

Fig. 3 is a schematic diagram of a telecommunication network, and

Fig. 4 is a general block diagram of a node in a network performing a multitude of functions involving calculations.

25 DETAILED DESCRIPTION

In a network 1 for communicating information switching nodes 3 are provided, see the schematic picture of Fig. 3. Subscriber sets 5 are connected to the network, e.g. through special network interface nodes 7, or directly to the switching nodes 3. A plurality of subscriber sets can be connected to the same node 7 or 3, the information in the node then
30 passing in channels or connections established for the respective subscriber set. In e.g. the interface nodes 7 digital processing of signals received from the subscriber set and from the network is made in a digital signal processor 9, see the block diagram of Fig. 4. The digital signal processor is arranged to perform a plurality of procedures 11 processing the signals in different ways, the monitoring of the procedures being managed by a control or managing

program 13. The processing made in these procedures can involve rather time-consuming calculations and can have different influences on the generated signals, so that the processing in some of the procedures is more important for communicating the information and in some other ones the processing is less important. The DSP generally has to perform all of the procedures for information of a number connections or channels handled by the DSP.

In particular, the DSP 9 can make calculations according to an algorithm performing the different functions or procedures of an echo canceller 100 for voice or speech transmission, see the block diagram of Fig. 1. The echo canceller 100 is connected in the four-wire side of hybrid circuit 108 connected to e.g. a subscriber set through a two-wire line. The echo canceller receives a signal S_{in} at the four-wire line from the subscriber and a signal R_{in} from the network. The canceller transmits a signal at the four-wire line to the subscriber and a signal S_{out} to the network. In the signal R_{out} from the network an echo signal may be present and a replica of the echo is obtained in a linear filter 102 and is subtracted in a summing node 112 from the signal S_{in} input from the subscriber resulting in a signal S_b . In the obtained signal S_b a residual echo signal may be present which is further suppressed in a non-linear processor 104 generating a signal S_c . Comfort noise is generated in a noise estimator and generator unit 106 and is added in a summing node 114 to the signal output from the non-linear processor 104 resulting in a signal S_d which is the signal S_{out} output from the echo canceller. The functional blocks 102, 104 and 106 are controlled by a logical control block 110. In each of the functional blocks calculations are made using one or more algorithms. All the functional blocks 102, 104 and 106 of the echo canceller 100 can be executed in separate procedures run by a single processor unit such as a digital signal processor. The control block 110 can include a subblock 116 for adapting filter coefficients of the linear filter. Furthermore, the echo canceller can comprise blocks 118, 120 for double-talk detection and network probing respectively.

It is obvious that e.g. the functions of the blocks 102, 104 and 106 have different importance for or impact on the quality of the transferred voice information. Thus, the function performed by the linear filter block 102 must always be executed to obtain an acceptable speech quality. The processing made in the non-linear processor block 104 is less important and the processing made in the comfort noise generator block 106 can have a still less importance.

In many types of nodes or interfaces in a telecommunication network the DSP 9 serves a large number of channels simultaneously. Then situations may occur in which the amount of calculations is too large and the DSP then cannot execute all the procedures required for the processing in the channels. Then information can be lost. However, instead some of the procedures 11 can then be temporarily skipped, this resulting in a less severe loss of information or a less severe reduction of the quality of the transmission through the node or interface. This can e.g. in the echo canceller 100 of Fig. 1 be achieved by modifying the control circuits 110 accordingly. As an alternative, only the most important procedures are performed for channels having a low priority whereas all procedures are performed for channels having a high priority.

Generally, the procedures for signal processing can be considered to be an algorithm divided into different parts, called subfunctions herein, in such a way that the algorithm can be performed either by performing all the subfunctions or only a part of them.

Generally, the control or managing program 13 of the DSP 9 can be modified to include steps which will now described with reference to the flowchart of Fig. 2. It is generally assumed that these steps are executed in a processor, e.g. a digital signal processor, DSP, that is used in a communication network and can handle a designed or predetermined maximum amount of communication channels. The processor is used for performing calculations generally on media streams by different procedures or algorithms, such as an echo cancellation algorithm, a transcoder algorithm etc.

The first ones of the added program steps included in a first block A are only executed in the setup of the managing program 13. They comprise in a first step 202 that the maximum load situation that might occur in the communication network used as well as the average load is calculated. The amount of communication channels to be handled in the communication network can be selected based on the average load or the like in a second step 204. One or more of the procedures or algorithms, also called sub-functions, is/are now selected to be performed for each channel in every situation, i.e. in a maximum load situation, in a third step 206. The sub-functions are selected so that the capacity of the communication system never exceeds the capacity needed in maximum load situations for each channel.

The remaining steps 208, 210, 212, 214, 216 and 218 are performed continuously in a never ending program loop and are included in a second block B. They are thus generally performed at each instant and are for example performed for each successive processing time when a new packet is to be handled or a new group of packets or a new frame is to be handled.

5

In the first step 208 of the never-ending loop the sub-functions preselected in step 206 are performed for each channel in use. Then the capacity, e.g. as given by the number of instructions used or the time required, for performing the preselected subfunctions in the preceding step 208 is calculated in the next step 210. Thereupon the capacity needed to perform the remaining sub-functions is calculated in a block 212. The remaining sub-

10 functions can then be performed in accordance with the available capacity left after performing the preselected subfunctions. An extreme situation could comprise that no capacity at all is left after having performed the preselected subfunctions.

15

Thus, in the next step 214 it is determined whether there is capacity left to perform all the remaining subfunctions. If this is determined to be true, all the remaining subfunctions are performed in a step 216. If it is determined that there is not enough capacity left for the remaining sub-functions, a step 218 is performed. In the step 218 a method is chosen for using the remaining capacity. In the case where the processor handles a plurality of channels, some

20 channels can be selected for which all remaining subfunctions are performed. Another alternative could comprise a round robin scheme ensuring that all subfunctions will be performed for all channels sooner or later. Also some kind of a mixture of these two methods can be used. In a further alternative only the subfunctions which are most important to the quality of the transmission are performed.

25

Thus, for a processor having a predetermined calculating capacity and handling a multitude of channels, more channels can be used without losing any media streams than in prior art solutions in which the number of channels was selected on the basis of the worst situation, which is that of the maximum load. The method described herein is advantageous in this

30 respect even if fewer channels are used as long as the number of channels is not designed for the maximum load.

In the following an example given for illustrative purposes will be described for a typical situation. The processor in the communication network in this example is assumed to be a

digital signal processor having an execution capacity of 10 000 instructions per time unit. The digital signal processor is assumed to perform an echo cancellation algorithm, which is in this example divided in four subfunctions:

- 1) Filtering, requiring 100 instructions;
- 5 2) Filter updating, requiring 0 - 200 instructions;
- 3) Double-talk detection, requiring 50 instructions;, and
- 4) Non-linear processing, requiring 50 instructions.

The total number of instructions, i.e. 400, is the peak number when all tasks are performed.

- 10 Given a predetermined time period, for example one second, the digital signal processor might perform the algorithm thousands of times per channel.

In this example, for one channel of echo cancellation, the peak number of instructions adds up to 400. If peak allocation should be applied, as in prior art solutions, each digital signal processor should be able to handle $10\ 000/400 = 25$ channels.

If it is considered that sub-function 2 – on the average – only runs during half of the processing time, the DSP usage is only $300/400 = 75\%$. This means that each DSP could, on average, run $10\ 000/300 = 33$ channels. 33 channels are therefore run at every DSP in this example. Then the echo cancellation algorithm can be performed in the following way:

1. Subfunctions 1, 3, and 4 are performed for each channel 1 – 33. The number of channels can be maximum 33. A note or record of the need to perform subfunction 2 is made. It is not noted when, for example, double-talk situations are detected.
2. The total number of instructions used so far is calculated. The total number of instructions is calculated for the currently served number of channels, which then is a number in the range of 0 to 33. The total number of instructions is in the range of 150 to 200 for each channel.
3. The number of instructions needed to update the filtering coefficients , i.e. to perform the subfunction 2, for all channels that have been marked for an update is calculated, which is in the range of 0 to 200 for each channel.
- 30 4. If the remaining numbers of instructions are sufficient, the filtering in all channels that are marked for an update are updated.
5. If the remaining number of instructions are insufficient, the filtering in as many marked channels as possible are updated.

The channels for which an update of filtering coefficients is to be made might be selected according to some simple round robin scheme, or in more advanced way by taking into account different service classes. That is, a call from a subscriber having low cost subscription might be assigned a lower priority in favour of a high cost ditto.

5

While specific embodiments of the invention have been illustrated and described herein, it is realized that numerous additional advantages, modifications and changes will readily occur to those skilled in the art. Therefore, the invention in its broader aspects is not limited to the specific details, representative devices and illustrated examples shown and described herein.

10

Accordingly, various modifications may be made without departing from the spirit or scope of the general inventive concept as defined by the appended claims and their equivalents. It is therefore to be understood that the appended claims are intended to cover all such modifications and changes as fall within a true spirit and scope of the invention.

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000